## Columbia University: Barnard College

Spring 2023 - Barnard Evaluations [Barnard Lecture/Seminar Evaluation]

| Course: | PHILUN3655_001_2023_1 - TOPICS IN COGNITIVE SCIENCE AND PHILOSOP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Instructor: | Jennifer McDonald * |
| TA: | Lisa Clark |
| Response Rate: | $21 / 36(58.33 \%)$ |

At A Glance:Course Overview


Q1-1 - How would you rate this course on the following dimensions? The overall quality of the course
Q1-2 - How would you rate this course on the following dimensions? The clarity of course objectives
Q1-3 - How would you rate this course on the following dimensions? The organization of the course
Q1-4 - How would you rate this course on the following dimensions? The clarity of course requirements
Q1-5 - How would you rate this course on the following dimensions? The clarity of grading standards
Q1-6 - How would you rate this course on the following dimensions? The extent to which the class began and ended on time

## At A Glance:Instructor Overview

| Excellent |
| :--- |
| Very Good |
| Good |
| Fair |
| Poor |
| N/A |



Q7-1 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The overall quality of the instructor's teaching
Q7-2 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The instructor's effectiveness in communicating the subject matter
Q7-3 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The instructor's ability to stimulate your intellectual curiosity
Q7-4 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The instructor's ability to stimulate student participation in class
Q7-5 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The instructor's effectiveness in answering questions
Q7-6 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The instructor's feedback on your work
Q7-7 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The instructor's timeliness in returning your work
Q7-8 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The instructor's availability during scheduled office hours
Q7-9 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas? The adequacy of scheduled office hours
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| 1 - How would you rate this course on the following dimensions? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The clarity of course requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Response Option |  | Weight |  | Frequency |  | Percent | Percent Responses |  | Means |  |  |  |  |
| Poor |  | (1) |  |  | 0 | 0.00\% | - |  | 4.67 | 4.29 | 4.19 |  |  |
| Fair |  |  | (2) |  | 1 | 4.76\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good |  |  | (3) |  | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Good |  |  | (4) |  | 4 | 19.05\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent |  |  | (5) |  | 16 | 76.19\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N/A |  |  | (0) |  | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \quad 25$ | $50 \quad 100$ | Question | Department | Barnard C |  |  |
| Response Rate | Mean | STD | D |  | dian | Department | Mean | STD | Median | Barnard College | Mean | STD | Median |
| 21/36 (58.33\%) | 4.67 | 0.73 |  |  | . 00 | 190 | 4.29 | 0.91 | 5.00 | 7313 | 4.19 | 1.06 | 5.00 |

## Columbia University: Barnard College

## Spring 2023 - Barnard Evaluations [Barnard Lecture/Seminar Evaluation]

Course: PHILUN3655_001_2023_1-TOPICS IN COGNITIVE SCIENCE AND PHILOSOP
Instructor: Jennifer McDonald *
TA: Lisa Clark
Response Rate: 21/36 (58.33 \%)





## Columbia University: Barnard College

## Spring 2023 - Barnard Evaluations [Barnard Lecture/Seminar Evaluation]

Course: PHILUN3655_001_2023_1-TOPICS IN COGNITIVE SCIENCE AND PHILOSOP
Instructor: Jennifer McDonald *
TA: Lisa Clark
Response Rate: $21 / 36$ (58.33 \%)


| 2 - How much has this course enabled you to do each of the following? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improve your presentation skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Response Option |  |  | Weight | Frequency |  |  | Percent | Percent Responses |  | Means |  |  |  |  |
| Not at all |  |  | (1) | 2 |  |  | 9.52\% |  |  | 3.67 | 2.79 | 3.55 |  |  |
| Very little |  |  | (2) | 2 |  |  | 9.52\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some |  |  | (3) | 0 |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quite a bit |  |  | (4) | 2 |  |  | 9.52\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very much |  |  | (5) | 6 |  |  | 28.57\% | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N/A |  | (0) |  | 9 |  |  | 42.86\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 025 | 100 | Question | Department | Barnard College |  |  |
| Response Rate | Mean | STD |  |  | dian |  | Department | Mean | STD | Median | Barnard College | Mean | STD | Median |
| 21/36 (58.33\%) | 3.67 | 1.67 |  |  | . 50 |  | 190 | 2.79 | 1.48 | 3.00 | 7313 | 3.55 | 1.39 | 4.00 |



| 3 - Why did you take this course? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Response Rate | $9 / 36(25 \%)$ |
| - I needed one last Philosophy course for my major and I was interested in the topic of causation. |  |
| - Major requirement |  |
| - There is a philosophy requirement for the cognitive science major and this is the only course that fills the requirement that was offered this past year |  |
| - For my major requirement. |  |
| - This course was the only course that fulfilled the Philosophy requirement for my Cognitive Science major. |  |
| - Major requirement |  |
| - count as an elective for my major |  |
| - I took this course as a requirement for the philosophy component of the Cognitive Science major. I still really liked the content of this course, and would recommend it to anyone interested in |  |
| applied, experimental philosophy and psychology. |  |
| - To fulfill a requirement for the major |  |
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## 4 - What did you value most about this course? In what ways did the course meet your expectations? Explain why you would or would not recommend this

 course.Response Rate $\quad 6 / 36$ (16.67\%)



 us methodological tools. I also really appreciated that each lecture would have a handout.

 nightmare to me.



 discussed at the beginning.

- The course was very engaging intellectually


 can interact with students on a deep intellectual level. I take away so much from each class. It's one of the best classes I've ever taken and definitely my favorite class of this semester.

 important takeaways.

| 5 - What improvements would you like to see in the organization and content of this course? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Response Rate | 3/36 (8.33\%) |
| - I would have liked to have handouts for the papers we read during $A / B$ days, because sometimes the people explaining the paper I hadn't read didn't understand something in their reading, and it would have been good to have that - even if it was only distributed at the end of the session so we wouldn't rely on it. <br> - none <br> - I think this class had great organization, and it was very clearly structured. |  |


| 6 - Did the course inspire you to put in extra time and effort, over and beyond what was required? Can you explain why or why not? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Response Rate | 3/36 (8.33\%) |
| - It did, because it raised some <br> - In the sense that philosophy the course of the semester (e materials and objectives of the <br> - Yes, there were two talks on | estions that are very much alive in the scholarly conversation, and it made me want to be part of that. <br> my wheelhouse, it did. I felt like I needed to work harder to figure out what I was trying to understand, but also my paper grades slowly got worse over ing with the professor) so I guess it feels like I understand the goals less if I'm doing worse? I think I am improving in my ability to comprehend course t my grades are not and I would like them to, so I wanted to see where I could put in more effort in order to better fulfill the scope of assignments. <br> eople on the syllabus, so I went to their talks for extra credit. |
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7 - How would you rate your instructor in the following areas?

## The adequacy of scheduled office hours

Jennifer McDonald


## 8 - What did you value most about your instructor's teaching methods?

## Jennifer McDonald

Response Rate $\quad 8 / 36$ (22.22\%)

- Professor McDonald is great at lecturing for people with very diverging experiences with philosophy and psychology, efficiently explaining key concepts, questions, and distinctions to those who are just getting started in a field without making the class feel slow for those who have a more solid background in that discipline. She welcomes student engagement - any question is welcome and productively used in class to clarify some idea or issue, plus if she doesn't know something she'll make that clear and then speculate a bit (rather than dismissing a question or pretending to be more certain about her answer than she actually is). Moreover, she doesn't just teach the content of her class, but gives us methodological skills and tips that can be applied to courses beyond this one. In this sense, her assignments usually target these skills (beyond the content of this specific course) and she is always very clear about these goals, which I really appreciate. Lastly, she combines all this teaching efficiency with very fun examples and witty remarks, making each lecture a very enjoyable 75 minutes.
- She gave us time to think and form opinions in class and then encouraged us to express them.
- Professor McDonald did a really great job of explaining complex ideas in an easily digestible way, especially for a class where around half of us did not have a philosophy background. She was super patient with questions and always seemed to genuinely want to hear what students had to say and wanted to always ensure we were gaining clarity with topics. Her office hours were also really helpful, and she makes herself a really approachable professor to ask for help.
- She's great! I think she's bubbly and kind and her easygoing style made the material much more approachable.
- Professor McDonald is engaging, funny, kind, and created a really great and warm classroom environment. Our class was supportive and interested and I loved her as a lecturer
- She is very good at guiding us to form our own opinions, and learning how to think, write, and argue in a philosophical way. Instead of stating facts or telling us what to do, she asks questions that makes us think, and guide us to explore our thoughts.
 students is a rare and fantastic quality as an instructor
 questions. I loved when she would go on tangents, and appreciated how she simplifies huge complex ideas.
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| 9 - What improvements in the instructor's teaching would you recommend? |  |  |  |
| Jennifer McDonald |  |  |  |
| Response Rate $\quad 2 / 36(5.56 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| - Maybe it's just not the right course for me but the way that Prof McDonald went about the subject matter seems to assume that the students coming in already have a very firm understanding of philosophy, which I did not. This made it hard to keep up and made the course overall pretty disengaging. Prof McDonald is clearly knowledge and passionate about the subject, which translated well but can be intimidating and isolating for the students who did not come from as strong of a philosophy background. Because it is attached to the Cognitive Science major, I would assume that for not an insignificant number of students in the class took the class, this would be their first philosophy class as well. I think that if it's communicated more clearly in the course description \& the first class the expectation for students that this course does require / is best for does who have some foundation in philosophy, it would better support us in managing our expectations as well. |  |  |  |



11 - My class year is:



